

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,703	10/15/2004	Hauke Malz	259818US0PCT	2839	
22850	7590 09/14/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			SZEKELY, PETER A		
	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1714		
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				$\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	10/511,703	MALZ ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
TI MAIL DIO DATE (11)	Peter Szekely	1714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ac	ldress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.	
Status				
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 C 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-12 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 15 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application rity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/05,5/11/05	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/511,703

Art Unit: 1714

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Narayan et al. 4,677,154 or Nishiguchi et al. 6,242,097.
- 3. Narayan et al. disclose thermoplastic polyurethane, BHT and 2,2'-oxamido-bis[ethyl-3(3,5-di-t butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)]propionate in the Abstract. Nishiguchi et al. teach a cable sheath containing thermoplastic polyurethane in claim 1, additives in claim 6, hindered phenols in claim 13, 2,2'-oxamidobis-[ethyl-3-(3,5-di-t-butyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)propionate] in claim 14, flame retardants in claim 15 and antioxidant concentrations in Tables 1-3. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Art Unit: 1714

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. Claims 1-4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Narayan et al. 4,677,154 or Nishiguchi et al. 6,242,097, in view of Barbour et al. 6,411,248.
- 7. The primary references have been discussed already. Barbour et al. recite thermoplastic polyurethane and 2-10% metal deactivator in claim 1, hindered phenol, oxalybis(benzylidenehydrazide) and 2,2'-oxamido-bis-(ethyl-3-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-hydroxyphenyl) propionate in claim 3. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the metal deactivators of Barbour et al., in the concentrations specified by Barbour et al., in the compositions of the primary references, since these concentrations are customarily used in thermoplastic polyurethanes.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 6-11 are allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 8/25/05